



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAME		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/758,929	01/11/2001	yasuoki Tanaka	F-6723 9618			
7590 04/22/2005			EXAMINER			
Jordan and Hamburg LLP 122 East 42nd Street			HO, ANDY			
New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2194			
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/758,929	TANAKA, YASUOKI			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Andy Ho	2194	•		

	Andy	Но	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the sa ving rep tice of	me day as filing a Notice of plies: (1) an amendment, aff Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory ater thar b). ONL	Action, or (2) the date set forth a SIX MONTHS from the mailing Y CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension shortene than th	and the corresponding amount ed statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 April 2005</u> . A brief i date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	ny exte	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut nric	or to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecanse
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsidera			coause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		m for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresp	onding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21 Sac	a attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment	(DTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			impliant Amendment	(1 10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-6</u> .		•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercon	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does	NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
(see next page). 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/S	SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. Other:			/ 1	
			SUE LAO	
		y.	PRIMARY EXAMINE	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicant argued that the application's invention is different from the cited references wherein the application's invention discloses processing occurs only at a single node (Remarks, second paragraph page 8 continue to pages 9-10). In response, in the remarks from page 8-10, the applicant only explained the operating structures of the cited references and did not explain how the application's invention discloses processing occurs only at a single node. The applicant also did not explain how the cited references did not meet each of the claim limitations as disclosed in the claims. Applicant argued that the application's invention discloses processing occurs only at a single node; however, claim 4 discloses limitations such as "...plurality of elements, which is to be input/output processed and registered and managed in a server...", "exchanging data and processing a request and a response respectively by and between said user and said server"...These limitations clearly disclose an operation that involved more than one single node.

SUE LAO